

SIGN CHANGES, EXTREMA, AND CURVES OF MINIMAL ORDER

H. GUGGENHEIMER

Let $\{p_k(t)\}$ be a set of polynomials with real coefficients indexed by the degree. If the moments of a continuous function $f(t)$ for these polynomials vanish, that is, if

$$\int_0^1 f(t)p_k(t)dt = 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

and if $f(t)$ does not vanish identically, then $f(t)$ has at least $n + 1$ changes of sign in $(0, 1)$. A similar result holds for trigonometric integrals, namely, if

$$\int_0^1 f(t) \cos 2\pi kt dt = \int_0^1 f(t) \sin 2\pi kt dt = 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

then $f(t)$ has at least $2n + 1$ sign changes in $(0, 1)$ unless it vanishes identically. The theorems and the technique of proof are explained in Pólya-Szegő [1, p. 65, Problems 140 et seq.].

The theorem about the connection between the vanishing of the Fourier coefficients and the number of sign changes of a function has many important applications in geometry. A survey of these applications is given in [2].

In this note we give a general theorem based on an order property of curves in n -space, and during the course of this investigation we are led to an interesting unsolved problem about curves in n -space. We also give, as applications, some new vertex theorems in differential geometry and differential equations, and obtain a much shortened proof and a generalization of a theorem about intermediate values [2, Theorem 3].

1. We say that a continuous curve in Cartesian n -space

$$u: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

is of *minimal order* if no $(n - 1)$ -plane intersects $\{u(t)\}$ in more than n points, or in Haupt's terminology, the set $\{u([0, 1])\}$ is a continuum of POW n for the $(n - 1)$ -planes in n -space. Hence [3, §5.2.4, 2. Satz], u is a simple arc or a simple closed curve. In fact, the existence of a multiple point can be

excluded by a simple continuity argument. Take an $(n - 1)$ -plane through the multiple point and $n - 1$ other points. If the other points are kept fixed and the first point is varied, there must exist situations in which more than n points are in one $(n - 1)$ -plane.

The coordinate functions of \mathbf{u} are denoted by $u_i(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Theorem 1. *Let $f(t)$ be a continuous, real-valued function not identically zero on $(0, 1)$, and $\mathbf{u}(t)$ a curve of minimal order in \mathbf{R}^n . By i_j we denote non-negative integers. If*

$$\int_0^1 f(t) u_1^{i_1}(t) \cdots u_n^{i_n}(t) dt = 0, \quad 0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n i_j \leq k,$$

then $f(t)$ changes sign at least $kn + 1$ times in $(0, 1)$.

We assume first that $f(t)$ has exactly kn sign changes in $(0, 1)$, which occur at t_i , $1 \leq i \leq kn$, and that $t_i < t_{i+1}$. If $f(t)$ should vanish on an interval and changes sign, we arbitrarily assign one of the points of the interval as t_i . Let

$$L_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

be the equation of the $(n - 1)$ -plane through the n distinct points

$$\mathbf{u}(t_{j+s_k}) \quad 0 \leq s \leq n - 1.$$

Then no other point $\mathbf{u}(t)$ is in the plane. Hence, the sign can be chosen so that $L(\mathbf{u}(t_{j+1})) > 0$, and the curve $\mathbf{u}(t)$ must cross the plane $L_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ at all n points of intersection. If $\mathbf{u}(t)$ would be in one closed halfplane for t in a neighborhood of t_{j+s_0k} , then for small enough ε the plane through the $\mathbf{u}(t_{j+s_k})$, $s \neq s_0$, and $\mathbf{u}(t_{j+s_0k} + \varepsilon)$ must have $n + 1$ points of intersection with \mathbf{u} . Hence, $L_j(\mathbf{u}(t))$ changes sign only at all values t_{j+s_k} , $0 \leq s \leq n - 1$. The function

$$P(t) = L_1(\mathbf{u}(t)) \cdots L_k(\mathbf{u}(t))$$

changes sign exactly at t_i , $1 \leq i \leq kn$, and $f(t)P(t)$ never changes sign. In particular, $\int_0^1 f(t)P(t) dt \neq 0$. Since $P(t)$ is a polynomial of degree k in $u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t)$, by our hypothesis $\int_0^1 f(t)p(t) dt = 0$ for any polynomial $p(t)$ of degree $\leq k$ in the $u_i(t)$. In particular, this holds for $p(t) = P(t)$, and the contradiction shows that $f(t)$ cannot have exactly kn changes of sign.

Next, we assume that $f(t)$ has $k_n - l$, $1 \leq l \leq n - 1$, sign changes at t_i , $1 \leq i \leq kn - l$. The same contradiction as before is obtained if we put $t_{kn-l+1} = t_{kn-l+2} = \cdots = t_{kn} = 1$. For $l = n$, the first proof applies with k replaced by $k - 1$. Hence, it is impossible for $f(t)$ to have less than $kn + 1$ changes of sign.

Remark 1.1. If $f(t)$ is periodic of period 1, the number of sign changes in $[0, 1)$ is even. For $kn \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, we obtain an additional sign change.

Remark 1.2. If $\omega(t) > 0$ is a continuous function on $(0, 1)$, the function $f(t)\omega(t)$ can replace $f(t)$ in the hypothesis of Theorem 1.

2. Theorem 2. Let $u(t)$ be a continuously differentiable curve of minimal order, and $f(t)$ a continuous function of bounded variation, periodic of period 1. If

$$\sum_{j=1}^n i_j \int_0^1 f(t) u_1^{i_1}(t) \cdots u_{j-1}^{i_{j-1}}(t) u_j^{i_j-1}(t) u_{j+1}^{i_{j+1}}(t) \cdots u_n^{i_n}(t) u'_j(t) dt = 0,$$

$$1 \leq \sum_j i_j \leq k,$$

and either u is closed ($u(0) = u(1)$) or $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, then $f(t)$ has at least $kn + 1$ relative extrema in $(0, 1)$.

By Remark 1.1, in the second case the number of relative extrema in $[0, 1)$ is $\geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{kn}{2} \right\rfloor + 2$.

The theorem is non-trivial only if we assume that $f(t)$ has only a finite number of maxima and minima in one period. This means that $df(t)$ changes sign only a finite number of times. Let the sign changes be fixed at t_i . Then the hypotheses of the theorem are equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 u_1^{i_1}(t) \cdots u_n^{i_n}(t) df(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq \sum_j i_j \leq k,$$

Hence, also $\int_0^1 P(t)df(t) = 0$ for every polynomial of degree $\leq k$ in the $u_i(t)$.

If we assume that $df(t)$ has $\leq kn$ sign changes and construct $P(t)$ as in the proof of Theorem 1, it follows that $\int_0^1 P(t)df(t) \neq 0$. The contradiction proves the theorem.

Remark 2.1. A closed curve in R^n meets every $(n - 1)$ -plane in an even number of points, and the first alternative in Theorem 2 is possible only for an even n .

3. Many important applications of Theorem 2 refer to the case $k = 1$. If we put $u'(t) = v(t)$, the integral conditions reduce to

$$\int_0^1 f(t)v(t)dt = 0,$$

and the curve u does not appear explicitly in the formulation of the theorem. Hence, there is a certain interest in the characterization of the curves $v(t)$ whose integral curves

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{u}_0 + \int_0^t \mathbf{v}(\tau) d\tau$$

are of minimal order. In the much deeper context of order geometry, the problem appears to characterize the cotangent of continua of low orders. In this context, necessary conditions for \mathbf{v} have been enunciated by Hjelmslev and proved by Derry and Künneth. They proved [3, §5.3.9] that a continuous curve \mathbf{u} of minimal order has one-sided tangents at all points (and two-sided tangents at almost all points), and the intersection of the tangent half-cone (the parallels to the forward halftangents starting from a fixed point) with any $(n - 1)$ -plane is a curve piecewise of minimal order in R^{n-1} .

We solve the converse problem for continuous $\mathbf{v}(t)$ and $n = 2, 3$. For higher dimensions, we have only a conjecture to offer.

For $n = 2$, the Hjelmslev condition says that no point $\neq 0$ is covered more than once by the rays, which start from 0 and carry the vectors $\mathbf{v}(t)$. This means that $\mathbf{v}(t)$ is a star-shaped curve in R^2 . The integral curve of a star-shaped curve is locally convex. It is a *closed* convex curve if

$$(*) \quad \int_0^1 \mathbf{v}(t) dt = 0,$$

which is the condition on \mathbf{v} replacing the condition on \mathbf{u} in the first case of Theorem 2.

We denote the determinant of the vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} by $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, and also let

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } \arctan v_2/v_1 \text{ is an increasing function of } t, \\ -1, & \text{if } \arctan v_2/v_1 \text{ is a decreasing function of } t. \end{cases}$$

The curve \mathbf{u} is convex iff $\mathbf{v}(0)$ and $\mathbf{v}(1)$ point to different halfplanes of the line which joins $\mathbf{u}(0)$ and $\mathbf{u}(1)$, i.e., iff

$$(**) \quad \varepsilon \left[\mathbf{v}(0), \int_0^1 \mathbf{v}(t) dt \right] \geq 0 \geq \varepsilon \left[\mathbf{v}(1), \int_0^1 \mathbf{v}(t) dt \right].$$

Proposition 3.1. *A plane curve $\mathbf{v}(t)$ is the derived curve of a convex curve iff it is star-shaped for the origin and $(**)$ holds.*

For $n = 3$, the Hjelmslev condition says that the intersection of the tangent half-cone and any plane not through 0 is a piecewise strictly convex curve. If \mathbf{u} is everywhere differentiable, the intersection curves are strictly convex.

Proposition 3.2. *If the rays carrying the vectors $\mathbf{v}(t)$ form a strictly convex cone in R^3 , then the integral curve \mathbf{u} is of minimal order.*

Let us assume that a given plane intersects \mathbf{u} at $\mathbf{u}(t_i)$, $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \leq 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that an eventual fourth point of

intersection belongs to a value $T > t_3$ of the parameter. The four points $u(t_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $u(T)$ are coplanar iff

$$0 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & u_1(0) + \int_0^{t_1} v_1 dt & u_2(0) + \int_0^{t_1} v_2 dt & u_3(0) + \int_0^{t_1} v_3 dt \\ 1 & u_1(0) + \int_0^{t_2} v_1 dt & u_2(0) + \int_0^{t_2} v_2 dt & u_3(0) + \int_0^{t_2} v_3 dt \\ 1 & u_1(0) + \int_0^{t_3} v_1 dt & u_2(0) + \int_0^{t_3} v_2 dt & u_3(0) + \int_0^{t_3} v_3 dt \\ 1 & u_1(0) + \int_0^T v_1 dt & u_2(0) + \int_0^T v_2 dt & u_3(0) + \int_0^T v_3 dt \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \left[\int_{t_1}^{t_2} v(t) dt, \int_{t_2}^{t_3} v(t) dt, \int_{t_3}^T v(t) dt \right],$$

where the bracket indicates the determinant of the three vectors.

By the convexity condition, the first vector of the last determinant is in the halfspace spanned by $0, v(t_1)$ and $v(t_2)$, and this halfspace does not contain any vector $v(t), t > t_2$. A similar remark holds for the second vector and the plane spanned by $0, v(t_2)$ and $v(t_3)$ so that its halfspace does not contain any vector $v(t), t > t_3$. Hence, the vectors $v(t), t_3 < t < T$, are all in one halfspace of the plane spanned by $0, \int_{t_1}^{t_2} v dt, \int_{t_2}^{t_3} v dt$, whose determinant cannot vanish so that u is of minimal order.

For higher dimensions, a satisfactory result is still missing. Since closed curves of minimal order are possible for $n = 2k$, some relation generalizing (***) is needed. An interesting corollary of Proposition 3.2 is

Remark 3.3. If $\int_{t_0}^t v(\tau) d\tau$ is of minimal order in R^3 , then $\int_{t_0}^t g(\tau)v(\tau) d\tau$ is of minimal order for all continuous $g(t) > 0$. The author conjectures that this property remains true for all odd dimensions.

4. A number of additional theorems can be proved for $k = 1$. Some are restricted for the time being to $n = 2$ or 3 , pending a solution of the converse problem of § 3 for higher dimensions.

Proposition 4.1. Let $f(t), g(t)$ be continuous, of bounded variation and periodic of period 1, $g(t) > 0$, and $n = 2$. If $v(t)$ is continuous and star-shaped from the origin, and

$$\int_0^1 f(t)v(t) dt = \int_0^1 g(t)v(t) dt = 0,$$

then $f(t)/g(t)$ has at least four relative extrema in $[0, 1)$.

Here we put $u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t g(\tau)v(\tau)d\tau$. Then $u(0) = u(1)$ and the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied for $k = 1$ and the function f/g .

For $n = 3$, the condition $\int_0^1 g(t)v(t)dt = 0$ is impossible for v on a strictly convex cone and $g(t) > 0$. Therefore, we must use the second alternative in Theorem 2. We see that

$$\int_0^1 f(t)v(t)dt = 0, \quad f(0) = f(1) = 0$$

implies that $f(t)/g(t)$ has at least $3 + 1 = 4$ relative extrema for arbitrary positive continuous $g(t)$. Hence, the extrema must have different signs:

Proposition 4.2. *If $n = 3$, $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, $\int_0^1 f(t)v(t)dt = 0$, $f(t)$ is continuous and of bounded variation, and $v(t)$ is the derived curve of a smooth curve of minimal order, then $f(t)$ changes sign at least four times in $[0, 1)$.*

The last result can also be obtained as a special case of

Proposition 4.3. *If $v(t)$ is the derived curve of a differentiable curve of minimal order, $f(t)$ is a continuous function of bounded variation, and periodic of period 1, and*

$$\int_0^1 f(t)v(t)dt = f(1) \int_0^1 v(t)dt,$$

then $f(t)$ has at least $n + 1$ extrema in $(0, 1)$.

In fact, the hypothesis implies $\int_0^1 u(t)df(t) = 0$, and the proof then proceeds as for Theorem 2.

5. Theorem 5. *If*

$$\int_0^1 f(t)u(t)dt = \bar{f} \int_0^1 u(t)dt, \quad \bar{f} = \int_0^1 f(t)dt,$$

then $f(t)$ is equal to its mean value \bar{f} at least $n + 1$ times in $(0, 1)$.

Here again the result can be improved by one for even n and periodic $f(t)$. The function $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau)d\tau - \bar{f}t$ is periodic, $F(0) = F(1) = 0$ and $\int_0^1 F(t)u'(t)dt = 0$ by integration by parts. By Theorem 2, $F'(t)$ changes sign at least $n + 1$ times in $(0, 1)$.

Remark 5.1. If $u(t)$ is of minimal order, so is every translate of u . The

condition of Theorem 5 can be reworded to say that the conclusion holds if $\int_0^1 f(t)\hat{u}(t)dt = 0$ for that particular translate \hat{u} of u for which $\int_0^1 \hat{u}(t)dt = 0$. In this form, special cases of Theorem 5 appear in the literature [2].

6. If $n = 2m$, a curve of minimal order can be closed. We are interested in curves which are point-symmetric with respect to the origin. Since an $(n - 1)$ -plane through the origin and $n - 1$ generic points on the curve contains other $n - 1$ points by symmetry, point-symmetric curves of minimal order exist only for $2(n - 1) \leq n$ or $n \leq 2$. A point-symmetric plane convex curve shall always be referred to a parameter σ , $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$, which expresses the symmetry by

$$u\left(\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\right) = -u(\sigma).$$

Proposition 6. *If*

$$\int_0^1 f(\sigma)u_{i_1}^{j_1}(\sigma)u_{i_2}^{j_2}(\sigma)d\sigma = 0, \quad 1 \leq j_1 + j_2 \leq k,$$

for a periodic, continuous $f(\sigma)$ of bounded variation and a point-symmetric plane convex $u(\sigma)$, then the equation

$$f(\sigma) = f\left(\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

is satisfied at least $k + 1$ times in $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for an even k and $k + 2$ times for an odd k .

Define $D(\sigma) = f(\sigma) - f\left(\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Then the function $D(\sigma)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1. In fact, if $D(\sigma) = 0$ identically, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, we may assume $D(0) \neq 0$. Then by Remark 1.1, $D(\sigma)$ changes sign at least $2k + 2$ times in $(0, 1)$. Since the sign changes appear in pairs, there are at least $k + 1$ changes in $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. But the number of sign changes must be odd, hence the result.

7. The algebraic curve $u = (t, t^2, \dots, t^n)$ of order n in n -space is of minimal order. In fact, the determinant whose vanishing implies the linear dependence of $u(t)$ on $u(t_1), \dots, u(t_n)$ is a van der Monde determinant and vanishes only for $t = t_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Theorem 1 for this curve and $k = 1$ yields the theorem on moments quoted in the introduction.

The circle is a symmetric plane convex curve. The known theorems on

Fourier coefficients result from the fact that the monomials in $\cos t$ and $\sin t$ are linear functions of the trigonometric functions of multiples of the argument. The vanishing of the Fourier coefficients up to order k implies the hypothesis of Theorem 1 for $\mathbf{u} = (\cos 2\pi t, \sin 2\pi t)$, and that of orders 1 to k implies the hypothesis of Theorem 2 (see [2] for references and applications). The special case of Theorem 6 for the circle is contained in [4]; for $k = 1$ it is contained in Süss' proof of the assertion of Blaschke that a smooth convex plane curve admits three pairs of points with equal radii of curvature and parallel tangents.

The six vertex theorem of unimodular affine geometry [5] is a special case of Remark 1.1 for $k = 2$ and $n = 2$. Proposition 4.1 for the circle is a basic theorem of relative differential geometry [6], and it is also known for a closed convex curve \mathbf{v} [6].

8. Let C be a closed convex curve, which is the union of a straight segment C_0 and a strictly convex and differentiable arc C_1 , and let the origin 0 be a point in the interior of C . The couple $(C, 0)$ defines a norm in the plane for which the vectors $\overrightarrow{OP}, P \in C$, are the unit vectors. This norm satisfies the positivity condition and the triangle inequality but $\|\alpha \mathbf{x}\| = \alpha \|\mathbf{x}\|$ is true only for $\alpha \geq 0$.

The polar reciprocal of C for the euclidean unit circle of center 0 is rotated by $-\pi/2$. The resulting curve T is the isoperimetrix of the geometry [7], and is closed convex and differentiable except for one cusp at which the half-tangents make an angle supplementary to the angle of the rays from 0 to the endpoints of C_1 . Therefore, T with a suitable parametrization can serve as a curve \mathbf{u} . We choose the cusp \mathbf{u}_0 as the point $t = 0$ on T and for the parameter of $\mathbf{u} \in T$ twice the area of the sector $\mathbf{u}_0 0 \mathbf{u}$ of T . It is easily checked [8] that $\mathbf{u}'(t) = \mathbf{v}(t)$ is a unit vector in the $(C, 0)$ norm, and the curve \mathbf{v} is a parametrization of C_1 and satisfies (*).

A differentiable curve \mathbf{x} in the plane has a tangent image (under the Gauss map) by its unit tangent vectors. We call a curve *admissible* in this Minkowski geometry if its tangent image is in C_1 . If an admissible curve is convex, it can be parametrized by t as parameter of its tangent directions. The Minkowski radius $R(t)$ of curvature of a curve $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is defined by

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = R(t)\mathbf{v}(t).$$

If the curve is closed, $\int_0^{2 \text{ area } T} R(t)\mathbf{v}(t) dt = 0$. Hence

Proposition 8. *In a Minkowski geometry whose isoperimetrix is rough at one point, the radius of curvature of an admissible closed convex curve has at least three extrema.*

9. Next, in euclidean n -space we consider curves referred to the arc length s as parameter, and assume that the curve admits a continuous moving frame

$\{e_i(s), 1 \leq i \leq n$, whose Frenet equations are (see [9])

$$e'_i = -k_{i-1}e_{i-1} + k_i e_{i+1}, \quad k_0 = k_n = 0.$$

If in addition the curvatures are continuous, the curve is called a Frenet curve. By Theorem 2, we have

Proposition 9. *Given a Frenet arc in n -space with parallel tangents at its endpoints, if the curve $e_2(s)$ is of minimal order, then the first curvature $k_1(s)$ has at least $n + 1$ relative extrema. If n is even and the curve is closed, the minimal number of extrema is $n + 2$. The same statement holds for $k_{n-1}(s)$ if $e_n(s)$ is a closed curve of minimal order.*

10. Outside of geometry, our theorems have interesting applications to differential equations. For a Sturm-Liouville problem

$$y'' + \lambda p(t)y = 0, \quad a \leq t \leq b,$$

with positive $p(t)$, let $\phi_i(t)$ be the eigenfunctions. Then the eigenfunction expansion of a continuous function $f(t)$ defined on $[a, b]$ is $f \sim \sum c_i \phi_i$. Since $p(t) > 0$, the acceleration of the point

$$u(t) = (\phi_1(t), \phi_2(t))$$

is always directed towards the origin. This means that the arc $u(t)$ is convex in a neighborhood of any of its interior points, and therefore is a simple closed curve completely in one of the closed halfplanes defined by the u_2 -axis by the known properties of eigenfunctions [10, p. 395]. Hence $u(t)$ is convex (of minimal order).

Proposition 10.1. *Given a Sturm-Liouville operator on a finite interval with $p(t) > 0$. If the coefficients of indices 0, 1, 2 of the eigenfunction expansion of a continuous function vanish, then the function changes sign at least three times in the interval of the definition of the operator.*

We leave for the reader the proof of the assertion that

$$f(a) = f(b) = \int_a^b f'(t)\phi_1(t)dt = \int_a^b f'(t)\phi_2(t)dt = 0$$

implies that $f(t)$ has at least four relative extrema in $[a, b]$.

Another type of result can be obtained for a Hill equation

$$y'' + Q(t)y = 0,$$

$Q(t)$ periodic of period π , $Q(t) > 0$ and continuous.

We assume that the equation admits two coexisting periodic solutions and, in particular, that these solutions correspond to a collapsed *first* interval of instability [11, Chap. VII]. Then it is known that any solution of the equation

satisfies $y(t + \pi) = -y(t)$, and hence the curve $\mathbf{u}(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t))$ constructed on two linearly independent solutions of our equation is closed convex (by the argument leading to Prop. 10.1) and symmetric with respect to the origin so that $\mathbf{u}(t + \pi) = -\mathbf{u}(t)$. Then it is easily checked that $Q(t)$ and $\mathbf{u}(t)$ satisfy the hypotheses of the first case of Theorem 2 for $k = n = 2$, $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$. Hence $Q(t)$ has at least six extrema in a double period. Since a periodic function must have an even number of extrema in one period, we obtain

Proposition 10.2. *If the first zone of instability of a Hill equation with continuous positive coefficient $Q(t)$ is reduced to a point, then the coefficient has at least four relative extrema in a half-open interval of periodicity.*

The same result could have been obtained from the six-vertex theorem of unimodular centro-affine differential geometry [6], but no similar statements hold for the higher zones of instability. An example is $Q(t) = (1 + a \cos t)^{-3}$, $|a| < 1$, which has only two extrema and for which the second zone of instability collapses.

The same method leads to the more general theorem:

Proposition 10.3. *If the differential equation*

$$x^{(2m)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c_i x^{(2i)} + \lambda Q(t)x = 0,$$

$$Q(t) > 0, Q(t + \pi) = Q(t), Q(t) \text{ continuous, } c_i \text{ constant,}$$

admits a $2m$ -fold eigenvalue of the Liapounoff boundary value problem

$$x(\pi) = -x(0),$$

and if no hyperplane through the origin in $2m$ -space intersects the curve

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_{2m}(t))$$

constructed on $2m$ linearly independent solutions $x_i(t)$ of that boundary value problem in more than $2(2m - 1)$ points, then the function $Q(t)$ has at least $4m$ relative extrema in $[0, \pi)$.

References

- [1] G. Pólya & G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis I*, Springer, Berlin, 1923.
- [2] H. Guggenheimer, *Geometrical applications of integral calculus*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1967.
- [3] O. Haupt & H. Künneth, *Geometrische Ordnungen*, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [4] T. T. Wu, *On Ovals of n-type*. Acta Math. Sinica 3 (1953) 213–217.
- [5] W. Blaschke & K. Reidemeister, *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie II*, Springer, Berlin, 1923.
- [6] E. Heil, *Scheitelsätze in der Euklidischen, affinen und Minkowskischen Geometrie*, Darmstadt, 1967 (mimeographed).
- [7] H. Busemann, *The foundations of Minkowskian geometry*, Comment. Math. Helv. 24 (1950) 156–187.

- [8] H. Guggenheimer, *Pseudo-Minkowski differential geometry*. Ann. Mat. pura appl. (4) **70** (1965) 305-370.
- [9] K. Nomizu, *On Frenet equations for curves of class C^∞* , Tôhoku J. Math. (2) **11** (1959) 106-112.
- [10] R. Courant & D. Hilbert, *Methoden der mathematischen Physik*. I, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1931.
- [11] W. Magnus & S. Winkler, *Hill's equations*, Interscience, New York, 1966.

POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF BROOKLYN